

# Acceptability and Affordability Testing



## **Qualitative Research**



# **Executive Summary**

## **Executive Summary: Research Background**


- Anglian Water commissioned Accent to undertake Acceptability and Affordability Testing research to comply with OFWAT and CCW requirements
- This insight is based on a comprehensive qualitative exercise which tightly followed the regulatory guidance and a quantitative study will follow
- The research exercise comprised extensive and robust deliberation of three potential Business Plans


#### **Proposed Plan**


(includes statutory and discretionary service enhancements)

#### Alternative Plan

(includes only statutory service enhancements)









## Meaningful Engagement

- Customers across the Anglian Water region were represented and included households, micro non-households, small-large non-households, customers in vulnerable situations, low-income customers, customers on the Priority Services Register and future customers
- This qualitative research exercise has followed the prescribed methodology and content including building customer knowledge through a pre-task which educated about the industry, the company, Business Plan process, and Proposed Plan investment areas and performance
- Deliberative roundtable discussions, facilitated strong engagement and robust dissection and rich deliberation of the Proposed Plan, and one to one interviews with other key customers groups ensured that insights were meaningful
- Discussion of the Alternative Plan allowed customers to make some trade-offs and judge overall acceptability and affordability of the different options
- There was an opportunity for response via post task which was a useful to anchor to assess final individual affordability and acceptability
- Overall customers accepted there is a trade off in terms of the amount of information that can be shown and understood within the time and appear to make informed decisions with good knowledge
- More context and data was often requested (historical 10-year data trends, previous levels of investment, other elements of the Business Plan or Business as Usual activity e.g. customer satisfaction metrics or Priority Services Register/support for the most vulnerable). There were also some challenges around the choice of Performance Commitments and specific metrics.




## Meaningful Engagement

- Customers across the Anglian Water region were represented and included households, micro non-households, small-large non-households, customers in vulnerable situations, low-income customers, customers on the Priority Services Register and future customers
- This qualitative research exercise has followed the prescribed methodology and content including building customer knowledge through a pre-task which educated about the industry, the company, Business Plan process, and Proposed Plan investment areas and performance
- Deliberative roundtable discussions, facilitated strong engagement and robust dissection and rich deliberation of the Proposed Plan, and one to one interviews with other key customers groups ensured that insights were meaningful
- Discussion of the Alternative Plan allowed customers to make some trade-offs and judge overall acceptability and affordability of the different options
- There was an opportunity for response via post task which was a useful to anchor to assess final individual affordability and acceptability
- Overall customers accepted there is a trade off in terms of the amount of information that can be shown and understood within the time and appear to make informed decisions with good knowledge
- More context and data was often requested (historical 10-year data trends, previous levels of investment, other elements of the Business Plan or Business as Usual activity e.g. customer satisfaction metrics or Priority Services Register/support for the most vulnerable). There were also some challenges around the choice of Performance Commitments and specific metrics.




## Executive summary: Key insights — Overall Preference



- After long, interactive discussions, customers individually voted and the majority chose the Proposed Plan as their Preferred Plan
- Preference for the Proposed Plan is driven by strong support for a range of service enhancements that address spontaneous
  concerns around key environmental wastewater issues relating to combined sewer overflows and river pollution, as well as
  investment to address longer term water security challenges and leakage
- The Proposed Plan provides some reassurance that Thames Water will proactively invest to future proof core infrastructure and ensure longer term network resilience
- This narrative fits with the intergenerational discussion where the majority of customers preferred short term investment
  proposals that recognise the urgency and need to invest now

## Executive summary: Key Insights – Acceptability




Designed to provide some 'numbers' to understand weights of opinion but is indicative and not representative of Thames Water customer base

(136 Household/37 Non-Household)

- Each plan was reviewed and discussed independently for acceptability and affordability before overall comparisons and preferences were made
- Three quarters of Thames Water customers found the Proposed Plan acceptable which was significantly higher than the Must Do Plan or the Alternative Plan
  - All the plans address the spontaneous environmental priorities (addressing combined sewer overflows, river pollution, protecting wildlife and improving leakage) which was good
  - However, the Proposed Plan felt more proactive, ambitious and was seen to deliver MORE THAN just what's required
  - It was environmentally friendly, good for future generations and focused on the right things
  - It shows Thames Water voluntarily improving the sewer network and focusing on nature-based solutions that are seen as innovative
  - The proactivity provided some reassurance that Thames Water is focused on the long term and fits with the two thirds of customers who favour quicker bill increases to spread investment costs across generations

## Executive summary: Key Insights – Affordability



(129 Household/37 Non-Household)

Designed to provide some 'numbers' to understand weights of opinion but is indicative

and not representative of
Thames Water customer

• The proportion and distribution of affordability levels is similar across the different plans which is driven by the limited cost differential between the plans by 2030 (£17 difference between Proposed Plan and Must Do Plan)

Just under half of all customers found the Proposed Plan, which would see the average Household bill rise by £242 by 2030, affordable partly because the water bills are relatively low, it's a vital service and because investment to future proof the network is felt to be crucial

Around 1 in 3 would find the Proposed Plan bill increase difficult to afford due to the general cost of living crisis, concerns over rising inflation or high water usage. This is especially true of the lower income and financially vulnerable customers

Compared with the baseline pre-task, more stated this Proposed Plan bill would be fairly or very difficult to afford and want to know whether there will be bill caps to protect low income and 'just about managing' customers who would be adversely affected by the proposed bill increases

Approximately one quarter of all customers state they would find the bill change neither easy or difficult to afford. This is not a simple measure of affordability and some customers claimed they could afford it but felt that investment should come out of Thames Water profits or cost efficiencies. Others found it too difficult to predict future income levels

## Executive Summary: Considerations for the Proposed Pan

Although the majority favour the Proposed Plan and three quarters feel it is acceptable, there are areas for consideration including
where it was felt the Performance Commitments were not ambitious enough. For example, leakage and river pollution targets.
 However, no associated bill impact was discussed

PERFORMANCE COMMITMENTS					
	Leakage	Supply Interruptions	Water Quality	Sewage In and Sewage Out	Pollution
Importance	High importance	Medium importance	Medium importance	High importance	High importance
Response to Target	More ambition wanted	Okay/about right	Okay/about right	Targets going in the right direction	More ambition wanted

• In terms of the service enhancements, there were questions over the validity of the basement flooding service enhancement given that the benefit was seen as quite niche. It was felt that the investment could be moved elsewhere for universal benefit

SERVICE ENHANCEMENTS						
	National Environment Programme Water c. £2 pa	Water Resources Management c. £12 pa	National Environment Programme Wastewater c. £32 pa	Reliable Supply c. £6 pa	Rare heavy storms c. £2.50 pa	Basement Flooding c.£2.50 pa
Importance	High	High	High	Medium	High	Low
Support Spend	Support	Support	Support even though high spend	Medium support	Support	Low support – move investment elsewhere

## Agenda



1. Research Background

Research Rationale Methodology and Sample Design of Materials



2. Contextual Issues

Awareness/Perceptions of Anglian Water
Temperature Check
Priorities and Improvements
Long term direction
Intergenerational fairness



3. Response to Proposed Plan

Likes and dislikes
Acceptability and Affordability
Improvements



4. Response to Alternative Plan

Likes and dislikes
Acceptability and Affordability



5. Summary and Recommendations

Overall preferences
Changes for the Proposed Plan





## Research Background

## Background

- All water and wastewater companies are required to test the acceptability and affordability of their Business Plans with their customers before submitting their plans for the upcoming Price Review (PR24) in October 2023
- To ensure a standardised approach is used across the industry, Ofwat and CCW have produced guidance on how this research should be undertaken
- This guidance has been designed to facilitate consistency and comparability between companies (eg question language, methodologies, approach taken to inflation, the degree to which participants are informed, clarity on least cost vs. proposed options, inclusion of vulnerabilities, different futures, single affordability scheme)





Guidance for water companies: testing customers' views of the acceptability and affordability of PR24 business plans

Version 1.1


Revised 16 03 23

## Research objectives


Anglian Water have produced two potential Business Plans for the 2025-2030 Price review period to be tested in line with the Ofwat/CCW guidance

The plans do not include everything that Anglian Water propose to do but, in line with the guidance, they cover proposed targets against six performance commitments and six service enhancements that represent the areas where there will be the most investment and where customers will have a point of view


Plan One is 'The Proposed Plan' that includes 6 Service Enhancements



Both plans include the targets for the six
Performance
Commitments



Plan Two is "Alternative' Plan' that includes the same Service Enhancements but delivered at a higher price




The research was commissioned to explore customer responses to the Proposed and Alternative Business plans and decide which plan (or adaptation) will go forward to be tested in the quantitative work

All research followed the guidelines imposed by OFWAT/CCW and was overseen by the ICG Areas where the research deviated from the guidance will be outlined in the final appendix

## Qualitative Methodology:

Research method tailored for different audiences



# Qualitative Sample: Method Overview

Method	Audience	Location(s)	Number Participated
Deliberative event	Current bill payer	Hartlepool (water only), Chelmsford (waste only), Northampton (dual), Boston (dual)	61
Deliberative event	Micro NHH	Hartlepool (water only), Chelmsford (waste only), Northampton (dual), Boston (dual)	17
Depth interview	Small-large NHH	Various across the different supply areas	12
Depth interview	CIVS (financial and health)	Various across the different supply areas	32
Online groups	Future	Hartlepool, E&SW, Dual	22

# Depth Interviews: Sample structure

#### 16 x Depths: Health Vulnerabilities

- 8 customers who are on Anglian Water's PSR due to health vulnerabilities
  - These were recruited by Roots from lists provided by Anglian Water
- 8 x customers who are eligible for but not currently on, Anglian Water's PSR due to health vulnerabilities
  - These were free found and recruited by Scout
- 8 interviews with customers in the dual supply are, 4 in the E&SW area and 4 in Hartlepool

#### 16 x Depth Financial Vulnerabilities

- 8 customers who are on Anglian Water's PSR due to health vulnerabilities
  - These were recruited by Roots from lists provided by Anglian Water
- 8 x customers who are eligible for but not currently on, Anglian Water's PSR due to health vulnerabilities
  - These were free found and recruited by Roots
- 8 interviews with customers in the dual supply are, 4 in the E&SW area and 4 in Hartlepool


#### 12 x Depths Small-Large NHH

- Mix of sectors represented
- NHH customers from across the different supply areas:
  - 8 x dual
  - 2 x E&SW
  - 2 x Hartlepool
- Recruit by Roots and Scout from a mix of lists and freefound


## Digital Groups: Future Customers

## 3 x Digital Groups

Future	Dual	Hartlepool	E&SW
Age	18-25	18-25	18-25
Working	4	3	4
Studying	4	3	4
Total	8	6	8




- Even mix of genders across the groups
- Mix of living set ups: in the family home, shared student rentals, education accommodation




## Four Extended Deliberative Events:

#### Current HH and Micro NHH Customers







Homework exercise undertaken on bespoke digital platform

Extended deliberative events (HH and micro NHH)

Post Task exercise undertaken on bespoke digital platform

Affordability of Water Bill

Anything new/interesting/ surprising from the homework


Overall impressions (Future)

Contextual Issues and Baseline Views
Discussion of Proposed Plan
Discussion of Alternative Plan

Affordability/Acceptability of Proposed
Plan and Alternative plan
Preferred Plan
Level of Trust to deliver

## Qualitative materials:

Materials were developed in line with the guidance, COG tested with all customer groups and shared with the ICG



## Qualitative structure:

Event topics and deliberative break out discussions covered all mandated topics

- 4pm-4.15pm Meet, Greet, Seat; Session Introduction
- 4.15pm-5.15pm Break Out 1 (Warm-up, Recap, Long Term Context, Temperature Check)
- 5.15pm-5.45pm Comfort/Tea/Coffee/Sandwiches
- 5.45pm-7.00pm Break out 2 ('Proposed Plan')
- 7.00pm-7.15pm Tea/coffee break
- 7.15pm-8.15pm Break Out 3 ('Alternative Lower Cost')
- 8.15pm-8.30pm Final plenary session (Post task)



Timings adapted for 3 hour events:
Water only session in Hartlepool
Waste only session in Chelmsford

## Perspectives:

Everyone was encouraged to think about the Business Plans from different perspectives



## Perspective text was prescribed in the guidance

#### Examples:

Citizen hats: customers and future customers talked about the impact of the plans on other members of society who might have trouble paying bills

Society hats: customers and future customers talked about the impact of the plan on the natural environment



# Contextual Issues

Fieldwork undertaken in April 2023 against a backdrop of: economic uncertainty (rising interest rates, soaring inflation, biggest drop of living standards on record, etc) and a water sector under scrutiny in the news particularly around storm overflows and river pollution

UK inflation hits 41-year high of 11.1% as food and energy prices continue to soar

News > UK > UK Politics

UK inflation: Rate makes surprise leap as outlook for government finances 'still grim'





Grocery price inflation hits a record
16.7% high leaving cash-strapped
families facing an extra £788 on their
annual food shopping bill


Food prices have leapt a "staggering" 2.3 percentage points in just four weeks
Analysts now fear year-on-year grocery bills will soar by almost £800 in 2023
Recorness arrid warnings the UK will be the only 07 economy to slip backwards

Failures of regulators, water companies and Government leaving public and environment in the mire

22 March 2023



Under investment, insufficient government strategy, and inadequate co-ordination has resulted in a failure to "treat water with the care and importance it deserves", warns committee.






## Baseline awareness/perceptions:

Day to day, Anglian Water are not top of mind – no switching permitted, uninterrupted service and relatively affordable bill

What did people know/think about Anglian Water before the pre-task exercise?



#### Service user perspective:

- Starting points for most = neutral-positive
- Biggest 'service user' issue relates to 'hard water' and impact on appliances/taste/shampoo
- Leakage was an area of concern but those who experienced a problem had found Anglian Water to be responsive (within 24 hours to fix a village leak)
- Problem solving/moment of truth is really strong
- Strong communications and campaigns e.g. Love Every Drop

#### Bill payer perspective:

- Universally considered to be better/cheaper than gas/electric/ broadband
- Customers on support scheme welcome the support

#### Citizen/societal perspective:

• Many have picked up industry storm overflow issues in media – sewerage in rivers/seas is unacceptable

## Pre-Task Themes:

During the pre-task work, customers learned new things about the industry and about Anglian Water

Breakdown of Regulatory process of Common targets for Involved process of Industry vastly different Water Business Planning, Water/Waste water and wastewater Companies (topography, targeting and companies and how the Specific <u>operations</u> splits are decided penalities/rewards demography) **Company Size Performance Targets** Bill breakdown **Investment Plans** Largest water and Unexpected leakage Proportion of bill Significant investments Company performance allocated to different e.g. Desalination plant waste water company in Norfolk and areas Specific Lincs/Fens reservoirs Why there is no Significant Pollution of rivers and shareholder geographical coverage sewerage incidents is dividend/pay out (and why Hartlepool) poor

## Example of pre-task engagement and responses

Anglian Water Are geographically the largest Water and sewage company in England and Wales, with 1,257 sewage treatment works, more than any other company Chelmsford, HH

It is surprising to know that when water companies are rewarded the customer faces a small increase on their bills.

Hartlepool, HH

Disappointing that it failed the pollution metric quite significantly Chelmsford, HH

While there is a goal of river pollution reduction I feel it should be a much quicker time to solve this

Northampton, HH

I was surprised to hear that water comes from such a vast array of boreholes and rivers, and goes through such an extensive testing process
Future

It is commendable that
Anglian Water has prioritised
the areas where it is currently
having a poor performance
with regards to its own target
Northampton, HH

That the performance of AW is the 4th worse compared to other water companies for pollution incidents.

Chelmsford, HH

It is disappointing that Anglian Water is performing so poorly against the target set for pollution reduction. It is also disappointing to see their poor performance regarding the sewage flooding of domestic premises

Boston, HH

The breakdown of how my money on the bill is spent was very interesting as this was something I had never known before

Hartlepool, HH

There is no figure in the costings to cover dividend payments to shareholders. Who pays these if not the customer?

Boston, HH

I believe that the net zero targets set by government are unrealistic and much too expensive for companies and consumers to stomach. I would much prefer a more realistic target be set to mitigate against the economic pressures on everyone. The money saved by doing so could go towards further reductions in sewage overflow, floods and pollution.


Boston, HH

Why is Hartlepool covered by
Anglian Water? When you look at
the map, it's a tiny area surrounded
by Northumbrian Water, then
Yorkshire, then finally the huge area
of Anglian Water?
Hartlepool, HH



## Baseline temperature check:

All customers are aware of the challenging economic backdrop but at a household/business level, the water bill is less noticeable than others



- General consensus = the country is in an 'economic crisis'
- Customers are aware of this even if not personally affected:
  - Escalation in food prices affects everyone
  - Energy bills rise without any service related justification
- Range of experiences from:
  - Financially squeezed/struggling = Need help to pay bills, Sought support from companies/families, In touch with agencies
  - JAM/Comfortable = Conscious about spending, Less disposable income but no real lifestyle changes
- Most financially squeezed were getting/seeking support from Anglian Water:
  - About a quarter had some degree of difficulty paying the water bill
  - Of these, most feel that the water bill is 'fairly difficult' to afford
  - Water meters to control/reduce £
  - Water sure or LITE or Extra LITE

Customers were told about 1) Price Review process and 2) Anglian Water use part of any profit to invest back into the infrastructure

Early discussions about the water bill show that investment in the water industry is largely supported – there was a real sense that longer term resilience and infrastructure funding is needed and numerous comments about historic lack of investment which has begun to create a sense of nervousness about future resilience

I think things are tough but the water bill is a fraction of what makes me scared
Northampton, HH

I think it's okay value for money but I can't help but think we are paying for East Anglia up here
Hartlepool, HH

I Inflation is imposing on everyone and there needs to be more hope for customers on low incomes

Hartlepool, NHH

It's not that expensive but I'm mindful of all the bills for the business at the moment

Northampton, NHH

I'm on an airforce and state pension and I've done a detailed analysis of my spending and I'm okay with the water bill Boston, HH I recognise the challenges and support compulsory metering and that should help bills as well as supply and demand Boston, NHH

We are on universal credit but I've now gone back to work and that's helped with all bills Northampton, HH I now keep my eyes on the bills but the water bill is considerably smaller than everything else

Boston, HH

I'm pretty ignorant about the costs — it comes in and I pay it and my costs have halved since I had a meter installed Chelmsford, HH

They should have increased prices 10 years ago
Northampton, HH

I expect bills to go up every year to cover the cost of investment in the equipment but you want to see some cost efficiencies through innovation Chelmsford, HH



## Customers in vulnerable circumstances


Overlap between health circumstances and financial challenges, with participants' health conditions impacting their ability to work at all (or limiting the type/amount of work). HH members' health also impacted work situation and finances

### Physical health issues

- Spinal and mobility issues
- Hearing loss
- Asthma and COPD
- Diabetes

They need to get the information [on the PSR and support for financially vulnerable customers] out there. They need more literature and more information to let people know about the support on offer.

Health vulnerable customer



### Financial challenges

- Cost of Living pressures 'everything's gone up'
- - energy bills, broadband, insurances
- Loss of benefits, or only income is benefits
- Transition from full-time to part-time work
- Caring responsibilities mean working less
- No longer working because of ill health
- Relationship breakdown reducing HH income

## Mental health, cognitive and other characteristics

- Depression
- Anxiety
- Learning disabilities and difficulties
- Dementia
- Neurodiverse conditions like autism

It's a struggle to afford things. I was a postman for twenty years but now I'm long-term disabled, the money doesn't cover everything.

Financially vulnerable customer

## Financially vulnerable customer case study Tracy / 38 / recently single mum with children and a young baby / Norfolk

#### Living situation

Recently single and now a single parent, Tracy lives in Norfolk with her 8-month-old baby and other children

#### Working status

Not currently working, having recently had a baby Prior to having the baby, Tracy worked in retail and plans to return after maternity leave

#### Financial situation

Tracy's former partner was the chief income earner for the household, but he no longer lives with her
In addition, having recently had a baby means she is not currently working herself

Tracy currently receives Universal Credit and says, "things are tight"

She has recently struggled to pay her water bills on time, but feels that

Anglian Water are understanding of customers in her circumstances

"Anglian Water are considerate to my situation, compared to energy companies. They're flexible and care about their customers. If I've had to pay my bill late, I've never had any issues with Anglian."

#### Social tariff status

Tracy is not currently on a social tariff with Anglian

#### Response to PSR support offered in Business Plan

She was very supportive of the additional level of support offered to elderly customers in, e.g., reading water meters

#### Response to financial support offered in Business Plan

Tracy was concerned that others struggling financially might be worried about the bill impacts of the proposed business plan, but welcomed the financial support available to those who need it and qualify

"The PSR is brilliant. Really, really good. I'm not sure what they could do anymore than what they're doing already. I think that they are one of the companies that other companies could learn from. I think they've got it right"

## NHH Customers:

## Range of NHH (industry, size and number of sites) with varying reliance on water

#### Everyday reliance

Trades – kitchen fitter, bathroom fitter
Property development
Social care
Psychological support services
Accountant

Water usage =
Office use, tea/coffee, flushing toilets, washing
hands, cleaning, washing vans

#### Medium/heavy reliance

Architecture
Graphic design
Manufacturing and industrial
Local Authority
Hairdresser
Hospitality (café, deli, bar)

#### Water usage =

Office use, tea/coffee/canteen, irrigation, manufacturing process, cooling, product testing (water equipment), supplying commercial and domestic properties (LA), food and drink preparation

## NHH challenges

NHH customers outline a number of key challenges; cost is overriding concern (esp. for micro businesses) and focus on survival and short-term business plans

#### Costs

- Rising staff costs
- Rising material costs
- Rising bills/utilities
- Rising inflation
- Increased rent

#### People

- Recruitment and retention of staff (particularly in the hospitality sector)
- Client retention
- Low customer footfall (Covid hangover/WAH and cost of living crisis)

#### Legislation/Regulation

- Health and safety regulation
- Increased insurance
- Environmental regulation and policies

Consistently feel that water is cheaper than gas/electric although there is a concern about potential increases against the backdrop of energy price increases. Looking for reassurance that no price shocks are coming down the line – particularly for high water dependent businesses

## **Spontaneous Priorities:**

### Number of areas that customers want to be included in the Business Plan





- CSO related pollution
- Protecting seas and rivers
- Smells from treatment works
- Recognise that this might not be all AW responsibility
- Work with third parties e.g. farmers, manufacturers



#### **Water Quality Problems**

- Address limescale issues
- Address hard water content
- Professional recommendation/discounted water softeners or partner with manufacturer



#### **Innovation**

- 'Smarter' meters/apps
- Rainwater/grey water
- Catchment systems
- Embracing technology



#### Addressing Population Growth

- Address significant increase in housing developments across the region
- Negative impact on all services
- Keen to see AW work with third parties e.g. developers to introduce radical building changes



#### Leakage

- Address leakage
- Long term pipework investment



#### Protecting customers in need of help

- Service outages
- Priority services
- Support tariffs



#### Education

- Smart Meters to educate and change behaviour
- Reduce usage
- Address blockages
- Better connections

My dog is a water snob and won't drink it but neither will my wife and daughter Hartlepool, HH

Where is the education in water efficiency and provision of water efficiency devices

Northampton, NHH

Surely this is about investing in the core infrastructure and stopping water going down the drains in the first place
Chelmsford, HH

It has to be about addressing the sewerage floods — it's outrageous how they water companies can do this

Boston, HH

I wanted to see more innovation —
working with scientists and
environmentalists and farmers and
builders
Northampton, HH

Is there anything on grey water harvesting — that's what I wrote down

Northampton, HH

I think they need to be addressing the impact of agriculture on the water quality and the chemicals Boston, HH It feels like there is a need to educate customers to help save money by reducing usage

Hartlepool, HH

Focusing on the pipework and the infrastructure — as a business I can't see how you can let this kind of thing (leakage) just happen
Chelmsford, NHH

They need to be addressing leakage and investing in R&D to come out with new ways to do this

Hartlepool, NHH



## Long term direction:

Customers concur with interlinked challenges esp. climate change which is seen as a significant risk that could impact on service user experience



- 1. Helping the environment is positive and customers show greater support for this than seen before (media coverage, David Attenborough affect)
- 2. Housing growth is noticed everywhere but population figures are significant concerns over wider infrastructure and water supply
- 3. Recognise the need to plan and mitigate any drought impact caused by climate change
- 4. No-one had really thoughts about coastline erosion seen as a positive opportunity for desalination and something to protect (CSOs)
- 5. Flooding in some villages plus wider media coverage makes this issue salient
- 6. Real concerns over being the driest region and reinforce that security of supply is critical





- Net zero by 2030 is expected by many; some feel it's unlikely to make much difference to irreversible climate change (SOME SUPPORT)
- Achieving significant ecological improvements spontaneously requested; concerns about the wildlife and rivers are heard across those who are wildlife hobbyists and those who are more detached (STRONG SUPPORT)
- Resilient to droughts and flooding is critical (STRONG SUPPORT FROM EAST ANGLIA)
- Support CONTROLLED housing feels like economic growth is outside of Anglian Water's jurisdiction; prefer to see something about partnership and working with Developers

## Long term direction:

Post task response to intergenerational fairness reflects deliberative phasing discussions with the majority preferring to front load the bills and spread increases across generations of bill payers

Option 1 – this really is a 'no brainer' Chelmsford, HH





#### **Reasons for front loading:**

- Effects of climate change are more real than ever and starting to be noticed at a HH/NHH level e.g. TUBS, localised flooding
- Water bills are proportionately lower than others and investment in the water industry has been felt to be prohibitively low
- Older generations want to leave positive legacy for children/grandchildren
- CSOs have heavy coverage in the media and are UNACCEPTABLE

Big issue is supply and demand. I mean the growth in the villages mean they are all merging into one Northampton, HH

We have an outdated infrastructure system so we need some longer term investment Chelmsford, NHH

Don't wait for beyond 5 years time — it's difficult enough for the younger generations. £10 doesn't last long now so you can imagine that in the future Northampton, HH

There's nothing new and its all quite generic so I can't argue with it!

Northampton, NHH

I don't agree with kicking it down the road for 20 years as that doesn't feel very ambitious Hartlepool, HH Option 1 sounds great but 500 miles of pipes doesn't seem very much and that's the ambitious version!

Hartlepool, HH

It's a good start but its; lacking ambition. It just feels factual e.g. net zero – they have to do this Northampton, NHH

We need to get a good balance of steady investment – not fast now because there has been no investment for the last 10 years Northampton, NHH

Electric and gas is up so much that I'm not working at the moment so it feels that we need to be careful but spreading across generations still works as I have children and grandchildren to think about

Boston, HH






Response to Proposed Plan

## Proposed Plan:

Proposed Plan was included in the pre-task for initial consideration and discussed in detail during the second breakout deliberative sessions




#### Some challenges to the process:

Providing some information to get customers thinking critically but not perfect information e.g. only six performance commitments, lack of long term historical spend data on service enhancements, complete Business Plan, tailoring for Hartlepool

## Proposed Plan – Acceptability:

Majority (almost 8 out of 10) across locations found the Proposed Plan acceptable



Dual areas show stronger support which makes sense as they are shown more investment/targets

#### Top Five – Acceptability Reasons

- 1) Good for future generations
- 2) Focuses on the right things
- 3) Environmentally friendly
- 4) Plan will make big improvements
- 5) Good VFM/Doing a lot for the £


Top Five – Unacceptability Reasons

- 1) Plan won't improve key things
- 2=) Not environmentally friendly enough
- 2=) Should come out of profits
- 4=) Inadequate for future generations
- 4=) Too expensive

## Proposed Plan Performance Targets - Leakage:

Leakage is an emotive issue but welcome Anglian's positive performance

## 2025-2030 target could be more ambitious



'Doing really well but could do better. Don't be complacent now' Northampton, HH

#### Overall response to Leakage

- Viewed mostly from a citizen/society perspective
- Leakage is a spontaneous priority area
- Water is a precious resource
- Eliminating wastage and preserving water is critical
- Visible leaks undermine any demand management communications

#### Performance against Target/Other Companies

- Company performance is really encouraging
- Strong commitment to reduce leaks shown through target and comparisons
- Some challenge the setting of the targets e.g. companies allowed to choose

#### 2025-2030 Target and Long term ambition

- Historic performance looks strong and reassuring 86-77 in 2 years
- 2025-2030 disappointing in comparison
- Long term ambition (halving leakage) is good and most recognise that there will always be some leakage
- Strong support for the smart meter rollout and helping customers address leakage on their property

They are doing well compared to 'competitors'
Northampton, HH

This doesn't affect me as a service user and at a wider level Anglian Water seem to be doing really well Hartlepool, HH

Does this include smart pipework as well - on their network Northampton, NHH

It is a big jump when you look at how they have performed over the past few years Boston, NHH I couldn't let that much of my product just go down the drain Hartlepool, NHH After I had a smart meter fitted they
were in touch with me because my bill
was unusually high – I thought that
was really good
Northampton, HH

It's always going to be harder moving forward but still want progress

Boston, HH


There needs to be more education around usage and monitoring of water – I want to know more about smart meters

Hartlepool, HH



## Proposed Plan Performance Targets – Interruptions:

Current interruptions performance seems okay despite missing target (past context helpful) Happy with 2025-2030 target of 6 minutes



'Recent improvements seem very impressive – if they can keep it at these levels then that good enough' Boston, NHH

#### Overall response to Supply Interruptions

- Interruptions NOT a spontaneous priority area
- No/limited experience from a service user perspective
- 6 mins good enough from a Citizen perspective

#### Performance against target/other companies

- Current performance doesn't seem too bad
- Historical context useful to see how performance has improved
- Is the target fair vs. other water companies who have smaller patches
- 'Average' target is confusing, frustrating and creates suspicion

#### 2025-2030 target and long term ambition

- Short term target of 6 minutes is acceptable
- Really encouraging to see the investment in smart networks and proactive strategy

#### Happy with proposed 2025-2030 targets

They are looking to reduce by 2/3<sup>rd</sup> so I support this Boston, HH

Being out of water for 3 hours is high if you are a business
Hartlepool, NHH

Is it really worth investing in this area – after 2030 they need to stop and just maintain that position Northampton, NHH

This is unnecessarily ambitious – it's not an issue
Boston, HH

I don't think this is even an issue up here Hartlepool, HH I like the sound of the smart networks stopping this happening – that's what I was talking about in the leakage bit Northampton, HH

When you take into account the size of their patch and the distance they need to travel, this feels pretty good Northampton, HH

Hang on – why do they measure it like that? Over 3 hours or average?

Do we need to take away the number we first thought of?

Hartlepool, HH

With my service user hat then it's fine as I've never had an issue and even with my citizen hat I think this is good enough


Boston, NHH



## Proposed Plan Performance Targets – Water Quality:

Water quality performance is good enough

### 2025-2030 target is acceptable



It's a steady improvement and feels proportionate

Hartlepool, HH

#### Overall response to Water Quality

- Improving water quality was a spontaneous priority area for some customers
- Driven by hard water content/limescale/appliances
- Some customers feel Anglian Water could 'up it's chemicals' and soften the water
- Keen for Anglian Water to help with water softeners/filters
- BUT recognise that it's safe, clean, continual and that personal preference is subjective

#### Performance against Target/Other Companies

- Current performance is good/acceptable
- Comparative performance also looks good
- Sometimes there is confusion over safety measure

#### 2025-2030 Target and Long term ambition

- Short term target is acceptable
- Strategy to address through improving pipework and maintenance is acceptable/BAU

# This is about right and I don't want them to be any more ambitious than this Boston, HH

I'm happy with this but I wonder whether it represents the number of people who aren't happy – I think in this busy world who calls up about the tap water Northampton, HH

This is okay – I mean its hard water but that's the area and the target is fine

Boston, NHH

Feels fine – we've got no issues around this at home Northampton, HH

We get really good quality water at the moment but the metric seems really subjective
Hartlepool, NHH


I can't really drink it without squash or a filter Boston, HH I like the fact that they proactively contact you – they did that to me recently and said it might be a bit brown but to run the taps – that was helpful Hartlepool, HH




## Proposed Plan Performance Targets – Sewage Flooding:

Highly emotive issue that customers want to be swiftly improved

## 2025-2030 targets does not feel ambitious enough





#### Overall response to Internal Sewer Flooding

- Improving internal sewer flooding was not a spontaneous priority area for customers
- Citizen perspective = 'potential health risk' this is critical to ensure universal positive service
- Internal worse than external but still a problem area

#### Performance against Target/Other Companies

- Current performance is unacceptable
- Missing the target is unacceptable
- Really don't care whether Anglian is 'close to the best performed in the industry'

#### 2025-2030 Target and Long term ambition

- 2025-2030 target of 1.52 per 10,000 properties needs context
- Want to know absolute how many properties are affected
- 2030 target is unacceptable given historical information
- Would like to see more innovative infrastructure changes
- Would like to see strategy that reduces surface water run off e.g. green roofs, schemes

The extreme rainfall is an ongoing issues so there needs to be a more fundamental change to the infrastructure
Chelmsford, HH

I guess I do have some sympathy with the company because the weather conditions have been terrible but no one deserves sewerage in their home Northampton, HH Of course they should be investing in sensors but it feels like something bigger is needed Boston, HH

50% worse than they were in 2020! Not good enough Chelmsford, HH

I wouldn't wish this on my worst enemy – it's a health risk and needs to be improved urgently Northampton, HH

Need to improve education around this issue and about blockages if that's what's causing the problem

Boston, HH


Why did everything go up in 2022 – weather, well that will keep happening Chelmsford, NHH

How can they be putting forward a target that is not as good as previous performance – that's a backward step Northampton, NHH



## Proposed Plan Performance Targets – Pollution Incidents:

Media coverage has elevated this issue and customers want radical improvement 2025-2030 target is ambitious (given the 2020 figure but not for those who anchor on the 2015)



The games changed and the public just will not accept this crap any more Chelmsford, HH

#### Overall response to River Pollution and Streams

- Improving river pollution incidents was a spontaneous priority area for customers
- Also think about bathing waters/seas when they are thinking about this (whether coastal or landlocked)
- Societal and Citizen perspective = protection of the wildlife as well as recreational spaces
- Unsure as to whether this is all Anglian Water's responsibility

#### Performance against Target/Other Companies

- Disappointed but expected to see Anglian Water performing worse than the target
- Whole industry performance is really poor

#### 2025-2030 Target and Long term ambition

- 2030 is a significant improvement from 2020
- Feel this is an area that Anglian Water should be collaborating with 3rd parties
- Would like more detail here on how/technological investments

#### Of course it's not ambitious – look at the 2015 levels Chelmsford, HH

I want them to be doing more but this does feel quite ambitious given 2020 Northampton, NHH

Not good enough – I love wildlife and the damage this is doing. It needs attention Boston, HH

Monitoring the network feels quite gentle – this is about biodiversity, ecology as well as quality for paddling and more needs to be done

Northampton, HH

It's such a contentious issue but the numbers seem okay when you look that they are halving the number of incidents from now Chelmsford, NHH

Need to push this and make a big dramatic change Boston, HH



## Proposed Plan – Service Enhancements:

Strong support for this 'service enhancement that reflects spontaneous priorities of addressing river/waterways pollution



#### Environmental ambition: £865m

Going further than the targets set to improve the environment. We'll **achieve tighter standards** than ever before in the treated water we return to rivers and coastal areas, capturing more nutrients to protect rivers to deliver against the National target of an 80% reduction in nutrients released by 2037.



- The environment is a spontaneous concern that customers want Anglian Water to address urgently
- Customers were reassured that the greatest spend was focused on environmental issues as reducing river pollution and protecting the waterways was seen to be critical
- 'Going further than' = welcomed and supported
- £865m is a huge number but a bit meaningless for customers
- 80% reduction feels high is this achievable? we have no idea?
- Customers wanted to be reassured that Anglian Water was working with partners and that there would be some financial contribution by other parties

#### **Considerations for Business Plan content:**

Improving rivers = strong driver of acceptability and target/investment level feels high

#### **Considerations for Business Plan Presentation:**

What are the tighter standards? What will be the positive impact on the fish? How are they collaborating with farmers/industry? What is the customer bill impact of this?



#### Environmental ambition: £865m

Going further than the targets set to improve the environment.

We'll **achieve tighter standards** than ever before in the treated water we return to rivers and coastal areas, capturing more nutrients to protect rivers to deliver against the National target of an 80% reduction in nutrients released by 2037.



"I know it might not be the same thing but all the starfish are dying on the beach and it feels like we need to be more thoughtful"

Hartlepool, HH

"This looks like a noble plan and I can't argue with it"

Boston, HH

"This feels like they are actively preventing contaminants which is good"

Chelmsford, HH

"This is good and this is ambitious – 80% reduction" Chelmsford, NHH

"Is the technology in place to deliver this – if yes then it's great"

Boston, HH

"I do want to see them going beyond any government target"
Northampton, HH



## Proposed Plan – Service Enhancements:

CSOs seen as a critical area of investment and customers show strong support for this service enhancement



#### Combined Sewer Overflows: £450m

Work to gradually reduce the number of spills from storm overflows in line with the government target we have been given.

We'll **improve 43%** of our high priority overflows (those with the most environmental impact) as part of a longer term programme to improve all of them. We will remove the 16% of our spills that cause the most harm. We will go beyond our 2025 'get river positive commitment' of reducing spills to **less than 20 per year per overflow on average.** By 2030 we will eliminate those spills that the Environment Agency have classed as preventing rivers from achieving good ecology.

- CSOs are a spontaneous concern that customers want Anglian
   Water to address urgently
- Negative impact on tourism and recreational activities
- Media coverage has captured customers attention and consensus that this is an unacceptable long term practice
- Strong sense of urgency to address this 'unforgivable practice'
- Some sense that 43% is not enough but this is based on 'gut instinct' vs. any data

#### **Considerations for Business Plan Content:**

Reducing CSOs = strong driver of acceptability; is it possible to go further?

#### **Considerations for Business Plan Presentation:**

Simplify text as there are too many numbers (43%, 16%, 20 per year), take out the word gradually, explain difference between overflow and spill



#### Combined Sewer Overflows: £450m

Work to gradually reduc'e the number of spills from storm overflows in line with the government target we have been given.

We'll **improve 43%** of our high priority overflows (those with the most environmental impact) as part of a longer term programme to improve all of them. We will remove the 16% of our spills that cause the most harm. We will go beyond our 2025 'get river positive commitment' of reducing spills to **less than 20 per year per overflow on average.** By 2030 we will eliminate those spills that the Environment Agency have classed as preventing rivers from achieving good ecology.

"There has been a shift in public opinion and its unacceptable to pump stuff into the sea"

Chelmsford, HH

"There needs to be some better education about flushing things – time for personal responsibility" Chelmsford, HH

"We need some transparency from the water companies about this"
Chelmsford, HH

"Stronger regulation is needed for these CSOs"
Northampton, NHH

"It's critical but I like that they are going to eliminate spills — that feels ambitious and brave language"

Northampton, HH



## Proposed Plan – Service Enhancements:

Renewing pipework and investing in infrastructure is key but would like to see stronger ambition e.g. more than 800km out of 6,000km



3

#### Climate resilience: £370m

Invest in renewing those assets we have identified as vulnerable to the effects of climate change.



We will protect communities that are supplied by pipes that are more vulnerable to climate change impacts, by **renewing** around 6,000km of pipes in a phased plan over the coming decades, starting with around **800km by 2030**.

- Climate change is recognised as a significant issue
- The link between climate change and pipework is understood once explained (freezing pipes, hard ground that can crack, etc)
- Renewing pipework is critical and also felt to positively impact leakage
- The numbers are less convincing 800km of pipework out of 6,000km feels a 'soft target'
- A real concern when the impact of climate change was felt to be so serious

#### **Considerations for Business Plan Content:**

Renewing pipework is a driver of acceptability; is it possible to go further and increase 2030 target

#### **Considerations for Business Plan Presentation:**

Change heading to be renewing pipework and then talk about impact of climate change and preventative measures



#### Climate resilience: £370m

Invest in renewing those assets we have identified as vulnerable to the effects of climate change.

We will protect communities that are supplied by pipes that are more vulnerable to climate change impacts, by **renewing** around 6,000km of pipes in a phased plan over the coming decades, starting with around **800km by 2030**.

"What is this 15% - not enough in my mind" Boston, HH

"At this rate it will take them forever to replace all the 6,000km"

Northampton, HH

"We need to future proof – is this really going far enough"

Chelmsford, HH

"This does feel proactive and that is good – they are looking at replacing the most vulnerable pipes"

Boston, HH

"Prevention is better than patching – that's what I say"
Northampton, NHH

"This will help leakage as well but 800km feels a bit soft and doesn't give me that much confidence in the network"

Hartlepool, HH



## Proposed Plan – Service Enhancements:

Net zero is recognised as a statutory target and has support on this basis



#### Net zero: £200m

Speed up plans to reduce carbon emissions, achieving the government target by 2030.



In this the climate critical decade our plan will reduce the amount of **carbon we emit from our own activities** in combination with offsetting to reach the zero emission target.

- Investment in net zero was one of the areas that was less well supported
- Less control over wider emissions
- Majority want Anglian Water to do their bit but some feel that there are other countries/organisations/areas that will make net zero impossible
- Some discussions regarding offsetting very negative for some people who feel this is a cheat
- Keen to see core carbon reduction

#### **Considerations for Business Plan Presentation:**

If using speed up' then change language to 'before 2030'
Include some specific examples of strategy to achieve net zero; use carbon reduction language



Speed up plans to reduce carbon emissions, achieving the government target by 2030.

In this the climate critical decade our plan will reduce the amount of **carbon we emit from our own activities** in combination with offsetting to reach the zero emission target.

"Is carbon net zero just off setting because I do want them to have strong ambitions and be net zero"

Boston, HH

"This is one of those tick box exercises" Hartlepool, HH

"I like the ambition and feel it has to be done"
Northampton, HH

"I don't agree with the idea of offsetting – it feels weak and wooly" Boston, HH



## Proposed Plan – Service Enhancements:

Strong support for this service enhancement area as it addresses a core challenge – population/housing growth AND increases sewer capacity



Increase how much waste water the system can safely recycle to accommodate 103,000 new homes by 2030.



As well as connecting these new homes to our networks, there is more used water going into the sewers than before due to increase in population and intense rainfall events. We will develop a programme of work to upgrade our sewer networks and treatment plants before they get overloaded, avoid disruption to existing customers and risk, to the environment.

- This service enhancement addresses the housing growth seen across the region
- Is there only 103,000 homes planned across the region?
- Updating the wastewater system to reflect projected numbers was felt to be critical
- BUT more proactive collaboration with developers, council at the point of planning
- More discussion with developers to adopt new and innovative practices that separated the rainwater and sewer networks or imaginative in-home/business systems that reduced the amount customer drainage water

#### Considerations for Business Plan Presentation:

Recycling language is a bit confusing; simplify language to 'we will ensure that we upgrade the sewer network to cope with increase in population and respond to intense rainfall events'; include reference to developer collaborations

#### Impact of growth: £200m



Increase how much waste water the system can safely recycle to accommodate 103,000 new homes by 2030.



As well as connecting these new homes to our networks, there is more used water going into the sewers than before due to increase in population and intense rainfall events. We will develop a programme of work to upgrade our sewer networks and treatment plants before they get overloaded, avoid disruption to existing customers and risk, to the environment.

It's good to see this as the new homes are increasing all the time"

Chelmsford, HH

103,000 homes feels a bit low over 5 years across our big area" Boston, HH

I would like to see more collaboration with developers here" Northampton, HH

It can't be all Anglian Water's responsibility surely"

Boston, NHH

100k homes sounds very conservative to me – when I saw the map for Anglian Water, it's giant patch they cover"

Northampton, NHH



## Proposed Plan – Service Enhancements:

Balancing water supply and demand is a critical service enhancement area Keen for enough ambition to future proof network



#### Balancing water supply and demand: £165m

Deliver a secure and wholesome supply of water to our customers, businesses and other sectors.

We will be installing a network of new regional transfers to move water around the region through **300km of new pipes**, **1million smart meters**, a new 25 million litres per day new desalination plant in Norfolk.

- This enhancement area addresses one of the primary concerns securing future supply and meeting demand
- Headline got customers attention
- Smart meter rollout seen as positive although minority of customers wanted this to be optional
- Smart meter and corresponding app important to monitor usage and have more control over bills
- Desalination plant was exciting customers have talked about this for a long time and this makes sense given the coast line
- Water dependent NHHs especially felt that this was critical to address and were surprised that this was the lowest investment area that was shown

Considerations for Business Plan Content:
Critical area to manage long term supply/demand which is
seen to be under pressure

Considerations for Business Plan Presentation:

Very clear and well understood; potential to add something around an app
which links with the smart meter and elevates control over spending



#### Balancing water supply and demand: £165m

Deliver a secure and wholesome supply of water to our customers, businesses and other sectors.

We will be installing a network of new regional transfers to move water around the region through **300km of new pipes**, **1million smart meters**, a new 25 million litres per day new desalination plant in Norfolk.

"I love the bit about smart meters — I've been talking abut this for years"

Northampton, HH

"Oh wow a desalination plant. This is really good. I saw this in the homework and was really excited"

Boston, HH

"This feels like a really customer focused - £165m" Hartlepool, HH

"I have no idea if this is the right amount of spend but I do like what they are proposing here as long as they are addressing leaks at the same time"

Chelmsford, HH

"They should be shouting this from the rooftops"

Northampton, HH


"It just makes sense to me and feels absolutely necessary"

Boston, NHH




## Proposed Plan – Affordability:

Baseline affordability of the current bill = 22% find the current bill fairly/very difficult With the Proposed Plan increase in bill amounts, a larger proportion (34%) would think the plan would be fairly/very difficult



Average HH bill increase of £447£552 without inflation and £646 with inflation



- In the qualitative post task, 33% felt the Proposed Plan would be very or fairly easy to afford
- A high proportion (one third) in the post task did not commit to it being affordable or unaffordable this was reflected in the discussions
  - Wary that income will drop in the short term
  - Difficult to predict life in 5 years
  - Described as 'reluctantly affordable'
- The gradual increase over the five year period was welcomed

I don't think we really have a choice

– this is justified investment

Northampton, HH

I'm putting on my bill payer hat and my citizen hat and I give it a high affordability score – it's spread over 5 years and it's not as big as other bills Chelmsford, HH

I would happily pay for it and would pay more because it needs doing
Boston, HH

I could afford it – just about but more importantly they need to do it. If they don't invest then what happens? Hartlepool, HH At least they are telling us what they are going to be doing – the electricity companies just put it up Chelmsford, HH

Its not as scary as it seems.
In the context of everything
else and it's the lowest bill
Northampton, NHH

Honestly, it doesn't seem too much in comparison to other utilities

Hartlepool, NHH

I say unaffordable just because they will just keep putting bills up and up after this

Hartlepool, HH



## Summary of Proposed Plan and Improvements Acceptability is high with over 8 out of 10 feeling the plan was acceptable

PERFORMANCE COMMITMENTS		
	2025-2030	
Leakage	Acceptable but could be more ambitious	
Supply Interruptions	Acceptable	
Water Quality	Acceptable	
Sewer Flooding in/Out	Less acceptable, more ambitious in the short term	
Pollution in Rivers	Acceptable but could be more ambitious	

SERVICE ENHANCEMENTS				
	2025-2030	Spend		
Rivers/Waterways	Critical	Support high % spend		
CSOs	Critical	Keen to go further		
Renewing pipework due to climate change	Critical	Improve 800km/6000km		
Net zero	Important	Support spend		
Upgrading sewers due to housing growth	Critical	Keen to go further than 103,000 properties		
Water supply and demand	Critical	Support spend		


Affordability of the Proposed plan is the same as baseline affordability of the current water bill


Potential in the quantitative work to tighten some of the language to avoid comprehension issues and strengthen acceptability

# Response to Alternative Plan

## Alternative Plan:

Alternative Plan was discussed during the third breakout deliberative sessions and focused on the elements that were different/removed









## Alternative cf Proposed Plan – Acceptability:

Overall acceptability was considerably lower for the Alternative Plan compared to Proposed Plan





#### Top Five – Acceptability Reasons

- 1) Environmentally friendly
- 2) Plan will make big/good improvements
- 3=) Good for future generations
- 3=) Trust them
- 5) Focuses on the right things


#### Top Five – Unacceptability Reasons

- 1=) Water companies should pay more from profits
- 1=) Poor VFM/not doing enough
- 3) Too expensive
- 4) Doesn't focus on the right things
- 5=) Won't improve things/not environmentally friendly/no trust

## Alternative Plan – Service Enhancements Changes:

Three main reasons that they reject the Alternative Plan

AW know their business and are more knowledgeable about what's worked at a practical level Boston, NHH



I trust AW — they do this all day long Chelmsford, HH

#### **Favour Alternative Plan**

- Environment Agency are a trusted, expert, independent organisation who will have researched best processes
- Anglian Water are a private company driven by profit/shareholder returns

#### Reject Alternative Plan

- More expensive why choose a more expensive way of delivering the same customers/community/ environment benefits
- Less environmentally friendly building incinerators has a carbon cost
- Trust Anglian Water run the process/practices, what's best for customers, balance cost and environment

This is madness – the Proposed plan seems more sensitive to the environment and costs less Chelmsford, HH It's Jessie Jay – it's not about the money.
I would rather spend the extra £30 on improving the CSOs faster
Boston, HH

I feel a bit uncomfortable going against the Environment Agency even if it's £30 less Hartlepool, HH

Because they are NFP it makes me think they are socially responsible and not a mean rotter and this doesn't reflect that Tenby, HH I think the EA are underfunded and I'm not sure they've done that good a job so far of keeping the rivers clean enough Northampton, HH

Given that the Environment
Agency is the 'custodian of
the environment' I find it a bit
awkward that Anglian Water
want to do things differently
Hartlepool, NHH

Some of those mandated examples feel like they could waste money – like the chemicals. I'd like them to negotiate with the Environment Agency and come to an agreement Hartlepool, NHH

I quite like the fact that Anglian
Water are being proactive and
challenging but what are the
other water companies doing. I
wouldn't want them to get fined
Chelmsford, HH


I think its right that Anglian Water choose how to deliver it and it sounds like they have schemes in place working with farmers etc Chelmsford, HH




## Alternative cf Proposed Plan – Affordability:

Alternative Plan is more expensive than the Proposed Plan but no sig difference in affordability scores

Qualitatively majority say spend the higher amount and use it to speed up other investment areas




Average HH bill increase of £447-£580 without inflation and £679 with inflation



Average HH bill increase of £447-£552 without inflation and £646 with inflation



# Overall Preference between the Proposed and Alternative Plan: Majority prefer the Proposed Plan



## Summary of Proposed Plan and Improvements

Acceptability is high with over 8 out of 10 feeling the plan was acceptable

Performance Commitments			
	2025-2030		
Leakage	Acceptable but could be more ambitious		
Supply Interruptions	Acceptable		
Water Quality	Acceptable		
Sewer Flooding in/Out	Less acceptable, more ambitious in the short term		
Pollution in Rivers	Acceptable but could be more ambitious		

Service Enhancements				
	2025- 2030	Spend		
Rivers/Waterways	Critical	Support high % spend		
CSOs	Critical	Keen to go further		
Renewing pipework due to climate change	Critical	Improve 800km/6000km		
Net zero	Important	Support spend		
Upgrading sewers due to housing growth	Critical	Keen to go further than 103,000 properties		
Water supply and demand	Critical	Support spend		

Affordability of the Proposed plan is the same as baseline affordability of the current water bill

Some suggestion that same affordability levels for the higher cost of the Alternative Plan could be an indication to use that investment to go harder and faster with targets/investments


## Trust in Anglian Water to Deliver:

Almost three quarters trust Anglian Water to deliver all/some of the plan



#### Why do you trust them?

- 1) I get a good service
- 2) Services are good VFM
- 3) They keep their service promises
- 4) Customers are top priority



Why don't you trust them?

- 1) They don't update customers
- 2) Shareholders are more important than customers
- 3) They will want to put bills up more
- 4) Services are poor VFM

## **Next Steps**

1

Share debrief with wider team

7

Discuss with CCG

#### Discuss Proposed Plan improvements/changes and update infographic

- Improvements in PCs for leakage, sewer flooding inside/outside, pollution in rivers
- Consider increasing investment in CSOs, pipework investment and sewer upgrades
- Language and presentation issues as per 'bottom boxes on key slides'
- More information on wider environment 'net zero' service enhancement

4

Discuss including more contextual information to increase customer ability to respond with fuller information

More Performance Commitments, CSR, Policies that support CIVS





Accent conforms to the requirements of ISO20252:2012

Full details of research design and methodology are available upon request.











Registered in London No. 2231083 Accent Marketing & Research Limited Registered Address: 30 City Road, London, EC1Y 2AB